



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,572		07/23/2003	Satoshi Tanaka	240581US3	6619
22850	7590	03/17/2005		- E	XAMINER
•	•	MCCLELLAND, N	CASAREGOLA, LOUIS J		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ			3746	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5	p
	•

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offic	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 03112005
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pape (08) 5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (P ^r :	ГО-152)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received ents have been received priority documents have b reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this Nationa	al Stage
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.0440(1)(1) 1.1(0)	
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected in about the drawing (s) be held in about the drawing of the drawing of the drawing or b).	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 0	* *
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/o	drawn from consideration		
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	n.		
closed in accordance with the practice under	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo		matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ T	This action is non-final.		
Status		,	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) tute, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddress
-	Louis J. Casaregola	3746	
Office Action Summary	10/624,572 Examiner	TANAKA ET AL.	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	

Restriction Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 USC 121:

I. Claims 1-6 drawn to a method and computer program for controlling a combined gas and steam power plant classified in Class 60, subclass 773, and

II. Claims 7 and 8 drawn to an apparatus for controlling a combined gas and steam power plant classified in Class 60, subclass 39.182.

The inventions of Groups I and II above are distinct for the following reasons:

The method of Group I could be performed by apparatus materially different than that of Group II. The Group I method does not necessarily require a system comprising distinct input, trigger, calculation, and output units organized and arranged in the manner specified in the Group II apparatus. The method could, for example, be implemented with a simplified system in which a number of the recited steps are performed manually.

Furthermore, the Group II apparatus could be used without a program of the type specified in Group I. The various control units in the apparatus could take the form of conventional hydro-mechanical control components that operate without any form of program or software.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and require separate classification and/or divergent fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicants are advised that even in the event that the restriction requirement is traversed, the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined.

In addition to the above restriction involving method, program, and apparatus, further election of individual species is required.

Species Election

This application encompasses three species of the inventive subject matter. These include the species of Figure 1, the species of Figure 5, and a third, unillustrated species that involves use of a predetermined constant rather than an actual load value (see specification; page 16, line 14 to page 17, line 20). Pursuant to 35 USC 121, applicants are required for a complete response to (1) elect a single disclosed species and (2) list all claims readable on the elected species including any claims subsequently added (MPEP 809.02(a)). In the event that the unillustrated species is elected, applicants are further required for a complete response to submit an additional drawing figure properly showing that species.

None of the present claims appear to be properly generic to all species.

Applicants are also advised that a mere argument alleging that a generic claim exists or is allowable will not satisfy a species election requirement. For a complete re

Page 4

sponse, applicant must elect a single species and list the claims readable on that species as set forth above.

It is additionally noted that the combined restriction and election requirements along with the complexity of the inventive subject matter render the present application unsuitable for election by telephone, hence, applicants have not been offered the option of a telephone election in this instance.

L. J. Casaregola 571-272-4826 (M-F; 7:30-4:00) 703-872-9306 FAX

March 11, 2005

LOUIS J. CASAREGOLA PRIMARY EXAMINER

If repeated attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the art unit supervisor, Cheryl Tyler, can be reached at 571-272-4834.

Information regarding the status of this application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, and status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).